



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/544,179

08/02/2005

Francesco Riondato

BA-22950

8573

178 7590 07/02/2008

BUCKNAM AND ARCHER  
1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD  
ROSLYN, NY 11576

EXAMINER

MCPARTLIN, SARAH BURNHAM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3636

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/544,179	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RIONDATO, FRANCESCO	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sarah B. McPartlin	<b>Art Unit</b> 3636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sarah B. McPartlin. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joe Orlando. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yates and Kuipers.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Orlando submitted several proposed claim amendments. Examiner McPartlin believes that the prior art of record still reads on the proposed amended claims. She suggests focusing on amendments which further define the STRUCTURE of the seat as opposed to amendments which relate the seat to the anatomy of the user. Such limitations are very broad since the anatomy of a user can not be definitively defined.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sarah B. McPartlin/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required